

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,815	01/05/2004	Takekazu Kakinuma	247298US6	1628
22850	7590 07/07/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TO, TOAN C	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3616	
		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,815	KAKINUMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Toan C. To	3616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u>pril 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-10 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species 1, represented by figures 1A-1B, claims 1-7 and 10 in the reply filed on April 7, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because first, applicant is reminded of the ground for traversal as stated in the restriction mailed on March 14, 2006:

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case, In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention [Emphasis added].

In this case, applicant has not provided any evidence as to why as a serious burden does not exist, applicant merely concludes that no serious burden exits, for this reason alone, the arguments are not found persuasive

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 8-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or
 linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply
 filed on April 7, 2006.
- 3. Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. In this case,

Application/Control Number: 10/750,815

Art Unit: 3616

the elected species, represented by figures 1A-1B does not show a braking means comprising a braking surface biased toward a road surface.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pham (U.S. 5,401,055).

As to claims 1-3, Pham discloses a two-wheeled vehicle comprising: a first wheel (65) and a second wheel (67) rotating about shafts (axle for each wheel is inherently disclosed) perpendicular to a running direction and arranged at a chassis along said perpendicular direction, a plurality of third wheels (66) arranged between the first and second wheel (65, 67) at a plurality of different position in the running direction, wherein the third wheels having a first state (position of the wheel 66 in figure 1) assisting said first wheel and said second wheel and a second state (as shown in figure 2) up to shifting to said first state, and a control means (52) for shifting said third wheel from said second state to said first state when detecting an abnormality in running of said chassis; a drive means (78) for driving said first wheel and said second wheel.

As to claims 4-7, Pham discloses a two-wheeled vehicle, wherein said third wheel (66) is biased in a direction for shifting to said first state in said second state and

Art Unit: 3616

movement in said biased direction is locked (by locking mechanism 56, 57), and said control means (52) releases said lock when detecting an abnormality in running of said chassis; wherein the third wheel (66) shifts from the second state to the first state by linear motion; a lock means (57) for the locking and an operation means coupled with lock means, the third wheel moving together with the lock means (57) in the locked stated and shifting from the second stated to the first state linked with movement of the operation means (56); wherein the third wheel shifts from the second state to the first state by rotational motion about predetermined axis (hinged axis between of the strut housing 46 and the pillar 21 as shown in figure 4)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan C. To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6677. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,815 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TTo **V** June 20, 2006